

REMARKS

Claim 40 is now pending in the application. Claim 40 has been amended and Claims 41-49 are new. The amendments to the claims contained herein are of equivalent scope as originally filed and, thus, are not a narrowing amendment. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

EXAMINER INTERVIEW

The Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the extensive interview. The Examiner indicated the subject matter of Claim 40 as amended would be in condition for allowance over the art of record and, particularly, the Ditchburn reference. The Examiner also indicated the incorporation of dependent claims would be appropriate.

CLAIM OBJECTIONS

Applicant has amended to claims to overcome the Examiner's objections. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ditchburn et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,184,732) in view of DeAngelis et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,657,077). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Pursuant to the telephone conferences, Applicant has amended Claim 40 to include the limitation that each camera of the array captures an image of the scene at a

different point in space from each other. Further, Claim 40 has been amended to include the limitation that "a pair of cameras of the array of cameras, from the moving object to form a frozen image of the object." Applicant submits none of the references teach or suggest this limitation.

Claim 40 has been further amended to include the limitation "wherein the sequence of light tunnel images is rotated between first and second angles on the motion picture medium to change the view of the frozen image." The position of the limitation within the claim has been moved to clarify the claim. Applicant again submits the references cited do not disclose or suggest this limitation.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1243.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 29-10

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

CAE/smb

15553988.1

By: 

Christopher A. Eusebi, Reg. No. 44,672